

Evaluating the SFIT Coupling Constant $K = 1.060$, Informational Entropy, Active Dampening Field, and Stability Analysis

Douglas G. Stevenson
stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com

March 2026

Contents

1 Abstract	1
2 The SFIT Coupling Equation	1
3 Informational Entropy and Active Dampening Field	1
4 Stability Analysis and 11.42 Hz Mode	2
5 Conclusion	2

1 Abstract

Stevenson-Flux Information Theory (SFIT) describes gravity as a dynamic information-carrying flux at $\nu_{\text{res}} = 1.20134$ mHz [1]. This work evaluates the coupling constant $K = 1.060$, the informational entropy component, the active dampening field, and stability data including a secondary mode near 11.42 Hz.

2 The SFIT Coupling Equation

The effective potential in the SFIT-modified time-dependent Schrödinger equation is given by:

$$V_{\text{SFIT}}(z, t) = mgz \left[1 + K \frac{z}{R_E} \text{Re}(\cos(2\pi\nu_{\text{res}}t)) \right], \quad (1)$$

with $K = 1.060$.

3 Informational Entropy and Active Dampening Field

The flux carries ontological information, producing phase-space skew and an active dampening field consistent with $\beta = K = 1.060 > 1$ [2]. The associated entropic force drives the observed Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW) relaxation [3].

4 Stability Analysis and 11.42 Hz Mode

A secondary feature near 11.42 Hz may represent a higher harmonic or mixing product [4]. The primary 1.20134 mHz signal remains robust [5].

5 Conclusion

$K = 1.060$ unifies the framework[cite: 27]. Future GRANIT runs will test these predictions[cite: 27].

References

- [1] H. Ziaeeepour, “Quantum state of fields in $SU(\infty)$ quantum gravity,” *Academia Quantum*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadQuant7579>.
- [2] Y. Shimizu, “Information Flux Theory: A Reinterpretation of the Standard Model with a Single Fermion and the Origin of Gravity,” *Preprints*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20934/preprints202505.1122.v3>.
- [3] J. Wu and Q. Jia, “The heterogeneous energy landscape expression of KWW relaxation,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 20506, 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20506>.
- [4] J. B. Bertrand et al., “Ultrahigh-Order Wave Mixing in Noncollinear High Harmonic Generation,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 106, no. 2, p. 023001, 2011, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.106.023001>.
- [5] L. S. Villanova and Yokoyama, “Orbital modulation of frequency content in Apollo deep moonquake records,” *Academia Earth and Planetary Science*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2026, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadEPS8148>.